Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/066,033	PONOMARENKO, ANDREI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2448	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Primary Examiner.	(3)		
(2) Larry Baratta, Atty Reg. No. 59,553.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 March 2011	Time: <u>5:30pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101			
Claims discussed: 10, 16 and 23			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to place the claims into condition for allowance; specifically, by adding limitations to independent claim 16 to make it more consistent with independent claim 1 and 23 for allowability and for making the claims statutory under 35 USC 101 by incorporating hardware presence into each of the independent claims. Afty authorized Examiner to make the necessary changes in the Examiner Amendment and the claims were amended accordingly. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Kristie D. Shingles/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2448 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)